

December 2019



Semi-Annual Remedy Selection and Design Progress Report Plant Gorgas Ash Pond

Prepared for Alabama Power Company

December 2019

# Semi-Annual Remedy Selection and Design Progress Report Plant Gorgas Ash Pond

James C. Redwine

James C. Redwine Senior Reviewer Date: December 11, 2019

**Prepared for** Alabama Power Company 600 18th Street North Birmingham, Alabama 35203

K-M-

Kristi Mitchell Originator Date: December 11, 2019

**Prepared by** Anchor QEA, LLC 600 Vestavia Parkway, Suite 121 Birmingham, Alabama 35216

# TABLE OF CONTENTS

1	Introduction		1
2	Summary of Work Completed		2
	2.1	Nature and Extent Delineation	2
	2.2	Remedy Selection Data Collection	3
3	3 Planned Activities and Anticipated Schedule		4
	3.1	Analysis of Existing Groundwater Data	4
	3.2	Precipitate Analysis	4
	3.3	Groundwater Collection, Analysis, and Geochemical Modeling	5
	3.4	Schedule	6
4	Refe	rences	7

#### TABLES

Table 1	Timeline of Completed Activities	.2
Table 2	Groundwater Sample Analyte List	.5

#### FIGURE

- 4		~
Figure 1	Planned Schedule	ь
5		

## **ABBREVIATIONS**

ACM	Assessment of Corrective Measures
ADEM	Alabama Department of Environmental Management
CCR	coal combustion residuals
CFR	Code of Federal Regulations
COI	constituent of interest
CSM	conceptual site model
MNA	monitored natural attenuation
Site	Ash Pond at Plant Gorgas
SSE	selective sequential extraction
SSL	statistically significant level

## 1 Introduction

In accordance with the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency's coal combustion residuals (CCR) rule 40 CFR 257.97(a) and the Alabama Department of Environmental Management's (ADEM's) Admin. Code r. 335-13-15-.06(8)(a), this *Semi-Annual Remedy Selection and Design Progress Report* has been prepared for the Ash Pond at Plant Gorgas (Site). Specifically, this *Semi-Annual Remedy Selection and Design Progress Report* has been prepared to describe the progress made in evaluating the selected remedy and alternative remedies and designing a remedy plan in the second semi-annual period of 2019.

On June 12, 2019, Plant Gorgas completed an Assessment of Corrective Measures (ACM; Anchor QEA 2019) to address the occurrence of arsenic, lithium, and molybdenum in groundwater at statistically significant levels (SSLs). The ACM was submitted to ADEM pursuant to Administrative Order No. 18-096-GW, placed in the Site's operating record, and posted to the Site's CCR Rule Compliance website.

Pursuant to 40 CFR 257.97 and ADEM Admin. Code r. 335-13-15-.06(8), Plant Gorgas is evaluating the selected and potential remedies presented in the ACM to identify a plan incorporating the remedy, or combination of remedies, as soon as feasible.

As discussed in the ACM, the following corrective measures are potentially feasible for use at the Site:

- Monitored natural attenuation (MNA)
- Hydraulic containment (pump-and-treat)
- Geochemical manipulation (in situ injection)
- Permeation grouting

Any data obtained during on-site investigations, or to evaluate corrective action alternatives, in 2019 will be included in the Annual Groundwater Monitoring and Corrective Action Report, on January 31, 2020, as required by 40 CFR 257.90(e) and ADEM Admin. Code r. 335-13-15-.06(1)(f).

## 2 Summary of Work Completed

Site investigations and preliminary design work have continued at the Site to support remedy selection and design. As discussed in the ACM (Anchor QEA 2019), completing a final long-term corrective action plan is often a multi-year process. Additional assessment work has been completed since June 2019, and work plans have been developed to support MNA and geochemical manipulation. These technologies are both geochemically based, such that site-specific geochemical data and analyses can be applied to both technologies.

ACM-related activities completed since the Administrative Order was issued are summarized in Table 1. Detailed planning for precipitate and groundwater investigations have been completed and are discussed in Section 3.

Date	Activity	
August 2018	ADEM issued Administrative Order	
January 2019	Annual Groundwater Monitoring and Corrective Action Report finalized	
January to February 2019	Delineation wells installed	
February to March 2019	Collected groundwater samples from newly installed wells and existing Ash Pond piezometers	
April 2019	Conducted groundwater assessment sampling event	
May 2019	Submitted a Groundwater Investigation Report to ADEM	
June 2019	ACM completed to address occurrence of arsenic, lithium, and molybdenum in groundwater	
August 2019	Submitted a Phase II – Groundwater Delineation Plan to ADEM	
November to December 2019	Detailed plans made for additional precipitate and groundwater sampling and analysis to support MNA and geochemical manipulation	

#### Table 1 Timeline of Completed Activities

### 2.1 Nature and Extent Delineation

Since this is the first semi-annual status report for remedy selection, the following summarizes activities that have been completed at the Site since November 2018 to determine the nature and delineate the extent of arsenic, lithium, and molybdenum SSLs in Site groundwater. Details regarding these activities will be presented in a forthcoming Site Investigation Report:

• Installed nine horizontal delineation wells and four vertical delineation wells between January 2, 2019, and February 26, 2019.

- Developed the delineation wells between January and March 2019. Horizontal delineation wells MW-25H, MW-27H, MW-30HS, and MW-30H and vertical delineation well MW-7V did not yield sufficient water to be developed or sampled.
- Sampled the eight successfully developed delineation wells and three pre-existing Ash Pond piezometers between February 20, 2019, and March 19, 2019.
- Submitted a Groundwater Investigation Report to ADEM on May 13, 2019. This report recommended a second phase of groundwater investigation to complete delineation of groundwater impacts, as required by Part B of the Administrative Order.
- Completed an Assessment of Corrective Measures for the Ash Pond on June 12, 2019.
- Submitted a Phase II Groundwater Delineation Plan to ADEM on August 15, 2019. This plan documented planned activities associated with proposed Phase II delineation efforts.

Pursuant to 40 CFR 257.96 and ADEM Admin. Code r. 335-13-15-.06(7), groundwater in the vicinity of the Ash Pond continues to be monitored during the remedy selection phase. In addition, during the remedy selection phase, the additional groundwater monitoring wells installed to characterize the extent of arsenic, lithium, and molybdenum will continue be sampled semi-annually as part of assessment monitoring.

#### 2.2 Remedy Selection Data Collection

Activities completed in 2019 have focused on completing the horizontal and vertical delineation of arsenic, lithium, and molybdenum SSLs. Two phases of delineation investigation have been performed at the Site to define the nature and extent of arsenic, lithium, and molybdenum SSLs. Work plans for the delineation have been submitted to ADEM (SCS 2018, 2019). Additional well installation and sampling is planned at the Site to complete the delineation investigation. Since substantial delineation has been performed, remedy-specific sampling and analysis will now be performed in the first half of 2020 to evaluate specific remedy alternatives, as described in Section 3.

Additional hydrogeologic and geochemical data collected during delineation investigations are being used to refine the conceptual site model (CSM) and to further evaluate the feasibility of each proposed corrective measure. When feasible, data needed to refine the CSM will be collected concurrent with the routine assessment monitoring events.

## 3 Planned Activities and Anticipated Schedule

Laboratory analysis for precipitates (attenuating solids) and groundwater is planned to support MNA and geochemical manipulation. The major rationale for these investigations includes the following:

- Identifying attenuating mechanisms
- Gaining an understanding of the permanence of the attenuating mechanisms
- Identifying potential geochemical manipulation approaches for constituents of interest (COIs) based on site geochemical conditions and attenuation processes already occurring naturally

In addition, analysis using existing groundwater data will be performed to determine if MNA is occurring and to assess attenuation rates.

#### 3.1 Analysis of Existing Groundwater Data

Groundwater data collected during previous monitoring events will be analyzed to assess natural attenuation occurrence and rates, including the following:

- Concentration versus distance (from impoundment) graphs, including ash porewater data, to show attenuation in space
- Concentration versus time graphs to show attenuation in time
- In concentration versus distance, and concentration versus time graphs, boron can be plotted as a conservative tracer to demonstrate physical attenuation and to compare to COI, which may be undergoing chemical attenuation in addition to physical attenuation
- Calculation of first-order decay rate constants, from the concentration versus time graphs

#### 3.2 Precipitate Analysis

Aquifer media has the ability to sorb COI, and aquifer and groundwater geochemistry can indicate if natural attenuation is occurring or has the potential to occur (i.e., precipitation and coprecipitation may be major mechanisms for natural attenuation). If precipitates are forming, and incorporating COI, then natural attenuation is occurring. Similarly, if precipitates are forming and incorporating COI, this suggests attenuation mechanisms that can be enhanced by geochemical manipulation under existing site conditions. If present, precipitates will be collected from monitoring wells with SSLs of Appendix IV constituents. Precipitate samples will be analyzed as follows:

- Chemical analysis by X-ray fluorescence and/or conventional wet methods to determine the chemical composition of the matrix (e.g., iron compounds) and presence of COI
- X-ray diffraction to determine mineral phases
- Scanning electron microscopy and associated electron diffraction to confirm mineral phases and attenuating mechanisms
- Selective sequential extraction (SSE) to determine association of COI with attenuating phases, relative strength of attenuation, and relative permanence

To assess potential attenuating mechanisms, and to gain insight into the permanence of the mechanisms, SSE will be performed on select precipitate samples. In SSE, samples are leached with increasingly aggressive solutions to determine the chemical associations as follows (or similar):

- F1, Soluble elevated ionic strength, pH neutral
- F2, Exchangeable (adsorbed) mildly acidic phosphate
- F3, Reducible (iron and/or manganese oxide bound) acidified reductant
- F4, Oxidizable (sulfide or organic matter bound) strong acid
- F5, Residual (contained in mineral matrices) strong acid plus strong oxidant

Generally, each successive step represents stronger attenuation than the previous step, and corresponding greater permanence for the attenuating mechanism.

#### 3.3 Groundwater Collection, Analysis, and Geochemical Modeling

Additional groundwater sample collection and analysis will be performed to evaluate MNA and geochemical manipulation. Groundwater will be sampled from background monitoring wells and wells with SSLs. Groundwater will be analyzed for major cations, anions, and related parameters (Table 2) to perform geochemical modeling using PHREEQC or Geochemists' Workbench.

Geochemical modeling will be performed to determine which species of the COI should be mobile, and which should be attenuated under existing site geochemical conditions. For example, PHREEQC can accommodate the solids in the groundwater-aquifer system and can be used to evaluate sorption/desorption, ion exchange, precipitation/coprecipitation, and related processes.

#### Table 2 Groundwater Sample Analyte List

Sample Analytes						
Iron	Alkalinity, Total	Dissolved Organic Carbon				
Iron, Dissolved	Chloride	Field Parameters (below)				
Manganese	Fluoride	Temperature (°C)				
Manganese, Dissolved	Nitrate as N	Specific Conductivity (µS/cm)				
Calcium	Orthophosphate as P	Dissolved Oxygen (mg/L)				
Magnesium	SiO <sub>2</sub> , Silica	Turbidity (NTUs)				
Potassium	Sulfate	ORP (mV)				
Sodium	Sulfide	pH (SU)				

Notes:

Units are milligrams per liter unless otherwise noted. µS/cm: microsiemens per centimeter mV: millivolt NTU: nephelometric turbidity unit ORP: oxidation-reduction potential SU: standard unit

Semi-Annual Remedy Selection and Design Progress Report

#### 3.4 Schedule

Groundwater and precipitate collection and laboratory analysis, geochemical modeling, and data evaluation is expected to begin in January 2020 and be completed within 4 months. The anticipated schedule for these activities is included in Figure 1.

Alabama Power Company will continue groundwater monitoring at the Site. In addition to performing the work described here, the following will also be completed:

- January 3, 2020 Provide response to ADEM comment letter regarding previous groundwater submittals.
- January 31, 2020 Submit the Annual Groundwater Monitoring and Corrective Action Report to ADEM.
- March 30, 2020 Submit a Revised Groundwater Investigation Report documenting the results of the Phase II delineation investigation to ADEM.
- March 30, 2020 Submit semi-annual progress report required by Part E of the Administrative Order.
- June 15, 2020 Complete the next semi-annual Remedy Selection Status Report and place it in the Operating Record.



## 4 References

- Anchor QEA, 2019. Assessment of Corrective Measures: Plant Gorgas. Prepared for Alabama Power Company. June 12, 2019.
- SCS (Southern Company Services), 2018. *Facility Plan for Groundwater Investigation: Plant Gorgas Ash Pond*. Prepared for Alabama Power Company. October 2018.
- SCS, 2019. *Phase II Groundwater Delineation Plan: Plant Gorgas Ash Pond*. Prepared for Alabama Power Company. August 2019.